Application No.: 10/576,065 Amendment Dated: December 31, 2008

Reply to Office Action of: October 9, 2008

Remarks/Arguments:

The Specification was objected to because it contains a hyperlink. The Specification has been appropriately amended.

Claims 109, 112, 121, 123, 133-134, 137, 140-141 and 145 are pending in the above-identified application. Claims 1-108, 110-111, 113-120, 122, 124-132, 135-136, 138-139, 142-144 and 146-154 have been cancelled. New claims 155-158 have been added.

Claims 108, 110-112, 123 and 136 were rejected under 35 U.S.C. § 112, second paragraph, as being indefinite. The rejection of claims 108, 110-111 and 136 are moot due to the cancellation of these claims. Claims 112 and 123 have been appropriately amended.

Claims 1 and 131 have been provisionally rejected on the ground of nonstatutory double patenting as being unpatentable. The rejection of claims 1 and 131 are most due to the cancellation of these claims.

Claims 1, 131 and 143 were rejected under 35 U.S.C. § 102 (e) as being anticipated by Gaddy et al. The rejection of claims 1, 131 and 143 are moot due to the cancellation of these claims.

Claims 107-108, 110-111, 119-120, 122, 132, 135-136, 138-139 and 144 were rejected under 35 U.S.C. § 103 (a) as being unpatentable over Gaddy et al. in view of Fangman et al. The rejection of claims 107-108, 110-111, 119-120, 122, 132, 135-136, 138-139 and 144 are moot due to the cancellation of these claims.

Claims 109, 112, 121, 123, 133-134, 137, 140-141 and 145 were indicated as being allowable if rewritten in independent form including the limitations of their base claims and any intervening claims. Claims 109, 112, 121, 123, 133-134, 137, 140-141 and 145 have been amended accordingly.

New claims 155-158 have been added. Basis for these amendments may be found, for example, in the originally filed application at page 25, lines 22 to page 30,

Application No.: 10/576,065

Amendment Dated: December 31, 2008 Reply to Office Action of: October 9, 2008

line 11, page 43, line 22 to page 44, line 19 and Figs. 1 and 10. No new matter has been added.

In view of the amendments and arguments set forth above, the aboveidentified application is in condition for allowance, which action is respectfully requested.

Respectfully submitted,

Lawrence E. Ashery, Reg. No 34,515 Attorney for Applicants

LEA/dmw

Dated: December 31, 2008

P.O. Box 980 Valley Forge, PA 19482-0980 (610) 407-0700

FP_373456